UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE, INC.

and

BLACK KNIGHT, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING SEALING PROCEDURES FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION BRIEFING

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING SEALING PROCEDURES CASE No. 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

11447663v1/017868

The undersigned parties jointly stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's approval, to a modification of the sealing procedures with respect to all briefing, including pre- and post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has requested a preliminary injunction under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act and filed a memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof (Dkt. No. 109), pursuant to a schedule entered by the Court for resolution of Plaintiff's preliminary injunction request (Dkt. No. 118);

WHEREAS, the memorandum of points and authorities in support of Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction extensively cites to, and attaches as exhibits, materials designated as confidential by Defendants and third parties under the Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. No. 91);

WHEREAS, the parties anticipate that subsequent briefing related to the preliminary injunction request, including pre- and post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, will extensively cite to, or attach as exhibits, materials designated as confidential by Defendants and third parties;

WHEREAS, the same materials designated as confidential by third parties are likely to be cited multiple times during the briefing related to the preliminary injunction request and that, under Local Rule 79-5, third parties would likely need to file numerous sealing statements concerning the same materials that they have designated as confidential, which would be highly time consuming and burdensome for the third parties;

WHEREAS, the parties seek to streamline the overall sealing process and to reduce burdens on third parties (by, for example, eliminating the need for third parties to file multiple, largely repetitive statements in support of sealing), the parties, and the Court by having a single motion to consider sealing and attendant statements in support of sealing by Defendants and third parties after submission of the post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law;¹

¹ The Honorable Jacqueline Scott Corley approved a similar procedure in *In re California Gasoline Spot Market Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:20-cv-03131-JSC, Dkt. No. 511; and the Honorable William H. Orrick III approved a similar procedure in *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation*, No. 14-(Continued...)

NOW THEREFORE, the parties request that the Court modify the sealing procedures under Local Rule 79-5 for the purposes of the preliminary injunction briefing as follows:

- 1. The parties shall conditionally file all briefing and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law regarding Plaintiff's preliminary injunction request, as detailed in the Court's scheduling order, and all supporting materials that contain information designated as confidential by any party or nonparty ("Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material") under seal, accompanied by an interim administrative sealing motion which may simply indicate that the reasons for sealing will be discussed in a forthcoming omnibus sealing motion.
- At the time of the filing, the parties shall not be required to file redacted versions
 of any Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material, except for redacted versions
 of any briefing.
- 3. Within 14 days after the parties' deadline to submit post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, each party shall:
 - a. file a motion to consider sealing that identifies (1) the portions of the Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material designated as confidential by any party or third party, and (2) the party or third party that designated each portion of the Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material as confidential;
 - b. serve on each third party that has designated any Confidential

 Preliminary Injunction Material as confidential: (1) this order, (2)

 the motion to consider sealing, and (3) versions of the Confidential

 Preliminary Injunction Material that cites materials the third party

 has designated as confidential where information designated as

md-2521-WHO, Dkt. No. 520. Another example of modification to the sealing procedures can be found at *In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. No. 246.

confidential by any other party or third party has been redacted; and

- c. file any statements in support of sealing the Confidential
 Preliminary Injunction Material that the parties have designated as confidential. Such statements shall comply with Local Rule 79-5(c).
- 4. Within 14 days after receiving the materials set forth in 3(b) above, each third party shall file a statement in support of sealing the Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material that the third party has designated as confidential. Such statements shall comply with Local Rule 79-5(c).
- 5. For Plaintiff's pending administrative motion to consider whether another party's material should be sealed with respect to its memorandum of points and authorities in support of a preliminary injunction and documents in support thereof (Dkt. No. 107), the deadline for parties and third parties to file statements in support of sealing the Confidential Preliminary Injunction Material will be 28 days after the parties' deadline to file post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO	ORDERED.
2		
3	SIGNED this day of	, 2023.
4		
5		
6		
7		HONORABLE ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	STIPULATED BY:	
10	Dated: June 12, 2023	
11	Buted: 34He 12, 2023	
12	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
13		
14	By: /s/Kalpana Srinivasan	By: /s/ Abby L. Dennis
15	Kalpana Srinivasan	Abby L. Dennis
16	Kalpana Srinivasan, Bar No. 237460	Abby L. Dennis
17	ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com Michael Gervais, Bar No. 330731	adennis@ftc.gov Peter Richman
	mgervais@susmangodfrey.com	prichman@ftc.gov
18	Jesse-Justin Cuevas, Bar No. 307611	Ashley Masters
19	jcuevas@susmangodfrey.com	amasters@ftc.gov
	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	Abigail Wood
20	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067	awood@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
21	Telephone: (310) 789-3100	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
	Facsimile: (310) 789-3150	Washington, DC 20580
22		Telephone: (202) 326-2381
23	Shawn L. Raymond, pro hac vice	
24	sraymond@susmangodfrey.com Alexander L. Kaplan, <i>pro hac vice</i>	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission
	akaplan@susmangodfrey.com	
25	Adam Carlis, pro hac vice forthcoming acarlis@susmangodfrey.com	g 5
26	Michael C. Kelso, pro hac vice pendin	gg
27	mkelso@susmangodfrey.com	
28		

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING SEALING PROCEDURES CASE No. 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

1	Abigail Noebels, pro hac vice	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
2	anoebels@susmangodfrey.com Alejandra C. Salinas, <i>pro hac vice</i>	
	forthcoming	By: <u>/s/ R. James Slaughter</u>
3	asalinas@susmangodfrey.com	R. James Slaughter
4	Krisina J. Zuñiga, <i>pro hac vice</i> kzuniga@susmangodfrey.com	Elliot R. Peters
5	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	epeters@keker.com
3	1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100	R. James Slaughter
6	Houston, TX 77002-5096	rslaughter@keker.com
_	Telephone: (713) 651-9366	Khari J. Tillery
7	Facsimile: (713) 654-6666	ktilery@keker.com
8	M: 1 11 P 1 C1: P N 240421	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
	Michelle Park Chiu, Bar No. 248421	633 Battery Street
9	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
10	Minna Lo Naranjo, Bar No. 259005 minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188
10	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	raesimile. (413) 397-7100
11	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Jonathan M. Moses, pro hac vice
12	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596	Adam L. Goodman, pro hac vice
12	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN&KATZ
13	Facsimile: (415) 442-1001	51 West 52nd Street
14	I Classica Essenti In and baccine	New York, NY 10019
14	J. Clayton Everett Jr., <i>pro hac vice</i> clay.everett@morganlewis.com	(212) 403-1361 jmmoses@WLRK.com
15	Ryan M. Kantor, <i>pro hac vice</i>	algoodman@WLRK.com
	ryan.kantor@morganlewis.com	argoodinania w Extx.com
16	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Attorneys for Defendant
17	1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	Black Knight, Inc.
1,	Washington, D.C. 20004-2541	
18	Telephone: (202) 739-3000	
19	Facsimile: (202) 739-3001	
1)	John C. Dadda nua haa wisa	
20	John C. Dodds, <i>pro hac vice</i> john.dodds@morganlewis.com	
21	Zachary M. Johns, <i>pro hac vice</i>	
21	zachary.johns@morganlewis.com	
22	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
22	1701 Market Street	
23	Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921	
24	Telephone: (215) 963-5000	
	Facsimile: (212) 309-6001	
25	Attorneys for Defendant	
26	Intercontinental Exchange, Inc	
27		
28		